RESEARCH

Open Access



Availability and use of magnesium sulphate at health care facilities in two selected districts of North Karnataka, India

Geetanjali Katageri¹, Umesh Charantimath^{2*}, Anjali Joshi², Marianne Vidler³, Umesh Ramadurg⁴, Sumedha Sharma³, Sheshidhar Bannale⁵, Beth A. Payne³, Sangamesh Rakaraddi⁶, Chandrashekhar Karadiguddi², Geetanjali Mungarwadi², Avinash Kavi², Diane Sawchuck¹⁰, Richard Derman⁸, Shivaprasad Goudar², Ashalata Mallapur¹, Mrutyunjaya Bellad², Laura A. Magee⁷, Rahat Qureshi⁹, Peter von Dadelszen⁷ and the Community Level Interventions for Pre-eclampsia (CLIP) India Feasibility Working Group^{2,3,9}

From 2nd International Conference on Maternal and Newborn Health: Translating Research Evidence to Practice Belagavi, India. 26-27 March 2018

Abstract

Background: Pre-eclampsia and eclampsia are major causes of maternal morbidity and mortality. Magnesium sulphate is accepted as the anticonvulsant of choice in these conditions and is present on the WHO essential medicines list and the Indian National List of Essential Medicines, 2015. Despite this, magnesium sulphate is not widely used in India for pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. In addition to other factors, lack of availability may be a reason for sub-optimal usage. This study was undertaken to assess the availability and use of magnesium sulphate at public and private health care facilities in two districts of North Karnataka, India.

Methods: A facility assessment survey was undertaken as part of the Community Level Interventions for Pre-eclampsia (CLIP) Feasibility Study which was undertaken prior to the CLIP Trials (NCT01911494). This study was undertaken in 12 areas of Belagavi and Bagalkote districts of North Karnataka, India and included a survey of 88 facilities. Data were collected in all facilities by interviewing the health care providers and analysed using Excel.

Results: Of the 88 facilities, 28 were public, and 60 were private. In the public facilities, magnesium sulphate was available in six out of 10 Primary Health Centres (60%), in all eight *taluka* (sub-district) hospitals (100%), five of eight community health centres (63%) and both district hospitals (100%). Fifty-five of 60 private facilities (92%) reported availability of magnesium sulphate.

Stock outs were reported in six facilities in the preceding six months – five public and one private. Twenty-five percent weight/volume and 50% weight/volume concentration formulations were available variably across the public and private facilities. Sixty-eight facilities (77%) used the drug for severe pre-eclampsia and 12 facilities (13.6%) did not use the drug even for eclampsia. Varied dosing schedules were reported from facility to facility. (Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: drumesh.charantimath@gmail.com

 $^2{\rm KLE}$ Academy of Higher Education and Research's J N Medical College, Belagavi, Karnataka, India

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

(Continued from previous page)

Conclusions: Poor availability of magnesium sulphate was identified in many facilities, and stock outs in some. Individual differences in usage were identified. Ensuring a reliable supply of magnesium sulphate, standard formulations and recommendations of dosage schedules and training may help improve use; and decrease morbidity and mortality due to pre-eclampsia/ eclampsia.

Trial registration: The CLIP trial was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01911494).

Keywords: Magnesium Sulphate, Availability, Health care facilities, Pre-eclampsia, Eclampsia, Karnataka, India

Background

Pre-eclampsia and eclampsia are a leading cause of maternal mortality, contributing to 14% of maternal deaths worldwide [1]. Every day approximately 830 women die as a result of pregnancy complications all over the world and 99% of these deaths occur in developing countries [2]. In 2015, globally there were 303,000 maternal deaths; and 45,000 (about 15%) of these were in India [3]. India has fallen short of achieving the Millennium Development Goal of reducing the national maternal mortality ratio (MMR) to 109 by 2015. Despite a substantial reduction in maternal deaths, the MMR of India stood at 174 per 100,000 livebirths in 2015 [3]. It is now necessary to focus on the newly adopted Sustainable Development Goals targeted to reduce the global MMR to 70.

Interventions targeting maternal deaths need to address pre-eclampsia and eclampsia as it is the second leading direct cause of maternal mortality, second only to haemorrhage [1]. Also, these conditions can result in major morbidity and residual complications which affect the quality of life of the woman and her family and significant economic burden.

Magnesium sulphate is the drug of choice for the prevention and treatment for the seizures of eclampsia [4]. The Magpie trial established that women receiving magnesium sulphate versus placebo were 58% less likely to have convulsions and it also led to a decreased risk of placental abruption. Follow-up studies of the participants of the Magpie trial and their babies showed no long-term harm or benefit to either [5–7]. A systematic review of the Cochrane database in 2010 found that magnesium sulphate is better than phenytoin and nimodepine in preventing convulsions and results in a nonsignificant decrease in maternal deaths [8]. Studies have also proven that it prevents convulsions better when compared to the other treatment regimens for eclampsia like the lytic cocktail regimen and diazepam [9, 10].

The World Health Organization's (WHO) list of essential medicines and the Indian National List of Essential Medicines (NLEM) 2015 identify magnesium sulphate as an essential commodity for maternal health [11, 12]. It is interesting to note that the NLEM lists it under S and T categories which means that it should be available at secondary and tertiary facilities, when in contrast, the Indian Public Health Standards (IPHS) Revised Guidelines 2012, specifically the IPHS guidelines for primary health centres (PHCs), state that magnesium sulphate should be present in the labour room in the PHCs [13]. The antidote to magnesium sulphate, calcium gluconate is listed on the WHO list of essential medicines and also features on the NLEM 2015 under categories P, S and T, implying that it should be present at the primary, secondary and tertiary levels.

The guidelines for skilled birth attendants in India recommend that magnesium sulphate be administered in cases of severe pre-eclampsia and eclampsia prior to referral [14]. These guidelines are meant to guide the functioning of Staff Nurses (providing services at the primary health centres and higher facilities), Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANMs; providing services at the subcenters) and Lady Health Visitors (LHVs) who are in a supervisory capacity for the ANMs. The guidelines also recommend that magnesium sulphate be present in the kit for home delivery and specify that the skilled birth attendants are permitted to use magnesium sulphate for both eclampsia and severe pre-eclampsia, and that arrangements for immediate referral should then be made [14]. Providing the loading dose of magnesium sulphate will stabilize a woman for safe transport to a facility, which is better equipped to handle these complications. Despite all the recommendations, use of magnesium sulphate is not universal [15].

There may be many reasons for the sub-optimal use of magnesium sulphate, such as a lack of knowledge, lack of familiarity with the drug, the large volume of the injection, apprehension of complications, widely varied doses and dosage schedules that may be confusing; among others [15]. Though there are standard regimens described in obstetric textbooks, there are concerns that the dose may be too large for the smaller built Indian woman. Hence several modified regimens are followed in practice [16–19]. In addition to this, magnesium sulphate may not be readily available in all settings [15].

We undertook an assessment of health facilities in two districts of North Karnataka to note how they were equipped in handling obstetric and neonatal care. In this study, we report the availability and use of magnesium sulphate at the facilities assessed.

Methods

This study was carried out in Belagavi and Bagalkote districts of North Karnataka. Karnataka is a south Indian state with a MMR of 133/100,000 livebirths in 2011-2013 as per the Sample Registration System. There are vast differences in health infrastructure and service delivery in the districts of northern and southern Karnataka [20]. The CLIP Feasibility Study was undertaken in 12 areas of Belagavi and Bagalkote districts (six in each district) [21]. The two adjoining districts are quite dissimilar, with poorer health indices in Bagalkote district [20]. Before the CLIP Trial (NCT01911494), the 12-month Feasibility Study was undertaken to assess the context, and identify any potential barriers and facilitators to the implementation of CLIP.

As a part of the CLIP Feasibility Study, facility assessment was undertaken to determine the capacity of facilities in the study area in the provision of maternal and newborn health services, with a focus on care of women with pre-eclampsia and eclampsia. Medical officers, in charge of provision of care at primary health centres (PHC), were asked to identify and list the health care facilities that women in their area frequent. In total, 88 facilities were identified. The survey of the facilities was undertaken between April and August 2013.

The survey was carried out by trained medical professionals from the research team from the same region, who underwent training for a day in the approach necessary for data collection. Those conducting assessments were predominantly clinicians; this provided important background knowledge for successful completion of the forms. The primary obstetric care provider at each facility was approached for a one-on-one interview to provide necessary information, with some fields being completed with inputs from pediatric care providers, laboratory technicians and review of institutional records. Consent was obtained from the respondents before proceeding.

A pre-structured questionnaire was used to document the responses received. The questionnaire recorded preliminary data about the name of the facility, location, person responding to the questionnaire, contact numbers, type of facility, usual place of referral, catchment area and population served. It also included the number of beds, availability of intensive care units, a comprehensive description of the available personnel, equipment, drugs and services, costs, transportation to and from the facility, access to blood transfusion, and the volume of patients. Questions pertaining to additional training in maternal and neonatal care were included in the format, as were those related to existence and use of facility guidelines for the management of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Questions regarding hypertension in pregnancy, criteria used for diagnosis of mild and severe pre-eclampsia, antihypertensive treatment, and use of magnesium sulphate were also asked. The majority of the questions in the survey tool were close-ended though a few questions requested detailed answering. Data were directly recorded on to hard copies of the questionnaire at the time of the interview. The questionnaire used in this study has been added as an appendix to this paper (Additional file 1).

All data were keyed into a locally developed Access database. The data were cleaned prior to analysis. Frequencies were run on all quantitative fields providing totals, means and standard deviations.

This study was approved by ethics review committees at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver Canada (H12-00132) and KLE University, Belgaum (Ref No: MDC/IECHSR/2013-14/A-28) India.

Results

Of the 88 facilities, 28 were public and 60 were private. Table 1 provides a description of the facilities surveyed.

The facilities assessed included 8 Community Health Centres (CHC) out of the total 24 in the two districts (33%) as reported by the Health Management Information System of the Ministry of health and Family welfare; 8 *taluka* hospitals out of the total 14 (57%), and both the district hospitals (100%). The total number of private obstetric facilities in the two districts could not be ascertained to determine the proportion assessed.

All health care facilities with magnesium sulphate at the time of assessment also reported access to the drug in the labour room. Data regarding stock outs in the preceding 6 months were collected. While the majority of the healthcare facilities did not report any stock outs, five public facilities did report stock outs at the time of assessment, one reporting multiple instances in the preceding 6 months. One private facility reported a stock out. One CHC and one private hospital reported having no availability of magnesium sulphate at any time and hence there was no response to whether stock outs occurred. One PHC medical officer reported that they did not wait for replenishment of stock through the government supply since it was not streamlined, and they bought the necessary supply through funds allocated to the PHC. Table 1 shows the different categories of health facilities surveyed, availability of magnesium sulphate at the time of assessment and stock outs in the preceding 6 months.

The availability of other essential obstetric medications was ascertained. There were differences in availability, with some facilities reporting availability of one type of a drug in a category versus another (for example betamethasone/dexamethasone, different antihypertensives etc.) and some reporting availability of all the drugs. All facilities reported the availability of uterotonics, oxytocin in particular.

Type of facility		Belagavi district			Bagalkote District			Total		
		N	А	S	N	А	S	N	А	S
Public facilities	Primary Health Centre	9	5	2	1	1	0	10	6	2
	Community Health Centre	4	3	0	4	2	2	8	5	2
	Taluka Hospital	4	4	0	4	4	1	8	8	1
	District Hospital	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	2	0
Private facilities		39	35	0	21	20	1	60	55	1
All facilities		57	48	2	31	28	4	88	76	6

Table 1 Facilities assessed, the availability of magnesium sulphate at assessment and stock outs in preceding 6 months

N Number assessed

A Magnesium sulphate available during survey

S Stock outs of magnesium sulphate in preceding six moths

Regarding the formulation of magnesium sulphate available, responses were collected from 29 facilities with magnesium sulphate at the time of assessment. Of these, 22 (6 public and 16 private) facilities reported carrying a concentration of 50% weight /volume (w/v), three (one public and 2 private) reported having 25% w/v and four (one public and 3 private) reported having both strengths available. All ampoules were of 2 ml.

Information on practices related to magnesium sulphate usage was collected, including the use of magnesium sulphate in the management of severe preeclampsia (Table 2). Health care professionals from 68 facilities responded that they did use it for management of severe pre-eclampsia, and notably this included five PHCs. Thirty-two providers reported differential dosing schedules for severe pre-eclampsia as opposed to eclampsia with differences in both the route and the dosage of magnesium sulphate used. Seventeen of the 68 providers reported that they did not provide a maintenance dose in cases of severe pre-eclampsia. One private practitioner reported maintenance therapy with phenytoin after the loading dose of magnesium sulphate for pre-eclampsia. All the PHCs and two private hospitals using magnesium sulphate reported immediate referral

Table 2 Facilities using	magnesium	sulphate f	for management
of severe pre-eclampsia	1		

Use of MgSO ₄ for severe pre-eclampsia		
Yes	Public	17
	Private	51
	Total	68
No	Public	4
	Private	4
	Total	8
Never used MgSO ₄ for even eclampsia	Public	7
	Private	5
	Total	12

of patients with severe pre-eclampsia and eclampsia, without waiting for the maintenance dose.

When asked about alternative treatment strategies in eclampsia in place of magnesium sulphate amongst those using the drug, the majority of the providers mentioned use of injection diazepam or phenytoin, but only in cases where magnesium sulphate failed. Only one provider mentioned that maintenance treatment was routinely provided with phenytoin.

A notable observation was that 12 providers did not use magnesium sulphate even for eclampsia. This included 4 PHCs, 3 CHCs and 5 private facilities. Three of these 12 facilities did report availability of magnesium sulphate at the time of assessment. Four of the 12 providers mentioned the use of injectable diazepam for eclampsia.

Varied dosage schedules were reported, with 25 (3 public and 22 private) of 68 providers reporting using standard Pritchard or Zuspan regimens for severe pre-eclampsia, and 44 (13 public and 31 private) of 76 reporting use of standard Pritchard or Zuspan regimens for eclampsia. The dosages reported were very varied, with most providers who were not using standard regimens resorted to lower doses, some up to half of the Pritchard and Zuspan regimens. One obstetrician at a private facility reported the use of 2 g intramuscularly (IM) as the loading dose and 2 g IM twice a day as the maintenance dose for eclampsia. There were also some unusual responses like 100 mg intravenously (IV) every 8 h; and 25% IM. The respondents in these cases were not obstetricians by qualification but were still the primary obstetric providers in that facility. Interestingly, 10 providers said they determine the loading and maintenance doses based on the patient's condition.

The availability of calcium gluconate, the antidote to magnesium sulphate was asked for. Calcium gluconate was not available in 12 facilities, including 8 which reported availability of magnesium sulphate (Table 3).

Discussion

Despite the well-established superiority of magnesium sulphate in the management of severe pre-eclampsia and

	, ,	/ // /
Type of facility	Calcium gluconate not available	Calcium gluconate not available but magnesium sulphate available
PHC	2	0
Taluka Hospital	1	1
CHC	2	1
Private	7	6

Table 3 Non-availability of calcium gluconate by type of facility

eclampsia, it continues to be used sub-optimally. In our study, non-availability of magnesium sulphate was identified at several facilities. In addition, stock outs were experienced at five public facilities and one private secondary facility in the preceding 6 months but in none of the tertiary health facilities. Calcium gluconate was available in 86% of the facilities assessed but 8 facilities with availability of magnesium sulphate did not have calcium gluconate.

It was found that the health facilities had differing strengths of magnesium sulphate available, some reporting both 25% w/v and 50% w/v. The facilities reported varying dosage schedules, some of which may not have the required stabilizing action and may not optimally benefit the patient.

The availability of magnesium sulphate seemed to be similar across the two districts in this study. However, there were more stock outs in the preceding 6 months in Bagalkote when compared to Belagavi. Also, the stock outs were in the secondary level facilities in Bagalkote as opposed to primary health centres in Belagavi. Availability and use of magnesium sulphate across all levels of healthcare is important for ensuring favourable outcomes.

The fact that other essential medications for obstetric health were available at the facility and only magnesium sulphate seemed to be missing, points to the fact that the supply chain is generally functioning. Due importance is not given to make magnesium sulphate available. The providers at the public facilities do have funds to procure the drug through other suppliers but have to maintain a watch on the stock to see that the supply is uninterrupted.

The facilities assessed in this study were the ones most often used for delivery and it would be expected that these health facilities would have better logistics than those not providing regular obstetric services. It is probable that this assessment does not represent all facilities in the region, and that others may have poorer availability and use of magnesium sulphate. The strength of this study is that researchers were trained medical professionals and hence had a better contextualization of the study. A limitation of this study is that the health care providers self-reported the availability and use of magnesium sulphate in the facilities they served. It was not physically ascertained as to how many ampoules were present, whether the ampoules were within the date of expiry, and whether magnesium sulphate was used in the manner reported. Though the researchers encouraged practitioners to report actual practices, the fact that the researchers were fellow clinicians may have induced a bias to report favourably.

A study assessing 279 health care facilities in eight districts of northern Karnataka in 2010 revealed that magnesium sulphate was available in only 18% of PHCs, 48% of higher public facilities and 70% of private facilities [22]. In comparison, the present study found that 60% of PHCs, 72% of higher public facilities and 92% private facilities reported availability of magnesium sulphate at the time of assessment. This difference may be because we selectively sampled facilities providing regular obstetric services.

A study from Maharashtra state, India assessing 44 secondary and tertiary public health facilities in 2009-2010 found that 61% of facilities had no stock of magnesium sulphate, with the stock-out position ranging from 3 months to 3 years. The researchers ascertained whether they had the minimum of 50 ampoules recommended in the guidelines for CHCs and found that 20% facilities had less than the minimum recommended and also that 11.4% of the assessed facilities did not have enough ampoules to even provide the first dose to a single woman [23]. The stock outs in the present study were much fewer, with only five of 28 public facilities (18%) reporting stock outs in the 6 months before the survey, again perhaps due to the convenience sampling used in this study. The quantity of drug available was not assessed in this study.

Another study done in Nagpur, Maharashtra concluded that though senior gynaecologists favoured the use of magnesium sulphate especially prior to referral, its use was limited due to lack of institutional policies on dosing, timing, indications and also due to limited availability, especially in tertiary care centres [24]. In the present study too, it was found that health care providers did not have uniform policies on indications and dosing, even when the drug was available.

A 2010 WHO study that assessed emergency obstetric care across six developing countries, including India, found that only 53% of facilities with basic emergency obstetric care (BEmOC) and 86% of facilities with comprehensive emergency obstetric care (CEmOC) had IM/IV anticonvulsants [25]. The study also indicated that public facilities were unable to provide emergency obstetric care due to lack of good management systems to ensure continuous availability of drugs and supplies and emphasized the importance of strengthening the chain for procurement and distribution of basic drugs and equipment; and to improve skills of the providers to ensure at least coverage of BEmOC [25]. In the present study, in addition to the issues about availability of magnesium sulphate, we found that providers at 12

facilities did not administer magnesium sulphate even for eclampsia despite three of these facilities reporting availability. This emphasizes the need for knowledge and skill enhancement activities to improve usage practices in addition to improving the supply chain.

Even though the guidelines for skilled birth attendants [14] recommend that the ANM should administer magnesium sulphate for severe pre-eclampsia prior to referral, the medical officers (who are qualified physicians) of five of the PHCs stated that they did not use magnesium sulphate for severe pre-eclampsia and despite availability of magnesium sulphate, usage for even eclampsia was not universal. Familiarising health care professionals with treatment recommendations, and building their capacity and confidence could go a long way in optimizing the administration of magnesium sulphate.

There are standard regimens for the use of magnesium sulphate, Pritchard, Zuspan and Sibai; as well as, many other dosage schedules [16-19]. A systematic review of the studies evaluating these regimens in low- and middle-income countries was unable to establish the superiority of any regimen and the lowest effective dose is not agreed upon [26]. It was found in four studies that the administration of the loading dose only was as effective as loading plus maintenance [26]. The varied dosage schedules, however, confuse practitioners who may not be familiar with magnesium sulphate. The strength of the drug formulation also varies and achieving the correct dilution for IV administration may be prone to error and confusion [27]. In the present study, we did find that the health facilities had differing strengths available and used many differing dosing schedules. It is important that there be a standard formulation and requirement for adherence to standard dosing schedules to increase appropriate use and optimize action.

Magnesium sulphate toxicity occurs very infrequently if guidelines are followed during administration. In the event that it does occur, serious complications can usually be averted by skipping the next dose. However, in rare instances, administration of calcium gluconate is required and may be lifesaving [28]. Hence, care should be taken to ensure availability and train the providers in appropriate use. A study in Lucknow, India in 2014 found that only 33.3% *Bal Mahila Chikitsalayas* (health care facilities for women and children) which serve as first referral units had availability of calcium gluconate [29]. In our study, availability was found to be better with 86% facilities reporting the drug at the time of assessment.

The Indian guidelines are conflicting, with the NLEM recommending magnesium sulphate availability in the secondary and tertiary facilities, the IPHS guidelines for PHCs recommending availability in the labour room of the PHCs; and the guidelines for skilled birth attendants recommending that it be available at the sub-center and even in the home delivery kits [12–14]. Conflicting recommendations of this nature need to be rectified to ensure enhanced usage.

The first step to encourage enhanced magnesium sulphate use is that it should be available at all health care facilities providing for obstetric patients, without any stock-outs. It is also essential to increase the providers' comfort and confidence in using this drug. All levels of obstetric care providers need to be re-trained periodically in the benefits and use of magnesium sulphate.

Observing the appropriate use of magnesium sulphate by senior obstetric providers may enhance uptake by the other health care providers like resident doctors, ANMs and staff nurses. However, they need to be exposed to the use of this drug in a consistent manner. Standardizing the strength and dosing schedules is essential; and guidelines for referral should be developed and enforced. Identification of non-compliant facilities could be done by tracking referrals. Ascertaining the cause for deviation with corrective action and refresher training could be a solution to increase appropriate use. Cases of eclampsia could also serve as triggers for evaluating the delays and deficiencies in the health system and identifying and implementing potential remedial action.

Future research could focus on the reasons for hesitancy for use of magnesium sulphate by obstetric providers so as to inform the health system administrators about the steps necessary to increase usage.

Conclusion

This study found that there were deficiencies in the availability of magnesium sulphate in health care facilities that routinely cared for obstetric patients in north Karnataka. The public health facilities faced stock outs though they could procure the drug through funds allocated to them. This shows a poor chain of coordination between the suppliers, distributors and the users.

Addressing contradictions in the Indian national guidelines regarding place of availability, indications for use and cadre of health care professionals permitted to use magnesium sulphate is of prime importance.

The indications for which magnesium sulphate was used and the strength of drug available varied across facilities of the same type. Standardization of the drug formulation and familiarization with guidelines is important to ensure optimal use of magnesium sulphate. In addition, identification of the factors preventing use; and trainings and refresher trainings to address these issues would increase appropriate usage of magnesium sulphate.

Despite a major reduction in the maternal mortality, the Millennium Development Goals of 2015 were not met in India; nevertheless, positive action in this regard could bring us closer to attaining the Sustainable Development Goals by 2030.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Questionnaire used in this study. (PDF 607 kb)

Abbreviations

ANM: Auxillary nurse midwife; BEmOC: Basic emergency obstetric care; CEmOC: Comprehensive emergency obstetric care; CHC: Community Health Centre; CLIP: Community level interventions for pre-eclampsia; IM: Intramuscular; IPHS: Indian Public Health Standards; IV: Intravenous; LHV: Lady health visitor; MMR: Maternal mortality ratio; NLEM: National list of essential medicines; PHC: Primary health centre; SN: Staff nurse; w/v: Weight/volume; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgements

This study was undertaken as a part of the PRE-EMPT (Pre-eclampsia Eclampsia Monitoring Prevention and Treatment) grant awarded to the University of British Columbia, a grantee of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. CLIP Feasibility working group: Namdev Kamble, Amit Revankar, Zulfigar Bhutta, Sharla K Drebit, Chirag Kariya, Tang Lee, Jing Li, Mansun Lui, Asif R Khowaja, Domena K. Tu.

Funding

This study was undertaken as a part of the PRE-EMPT (Pre-eclampsia Eclampsia Monitoring Prevention and Treatment) grant awarded to the University of British Columbia, a grantee of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. The funding body had no role in the design or conduct of the study or in the reporting of the results.

Publication charges for this supplement were funded by the University of British Columbia PRE-EMPT (Pre-eclampsia/ Eclampsia, Monitoring, Prevention and Treatment) initiative supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

About this supplement

This article has been published as part of Reproductive Health Volume 15 Supplement 1, 2018: Improving pregnancy outcomes - Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Maternal and Newborn Health: Translating Research Evidence to Practice. The full contents of the supplement will be available online at https://reproductive-health-journal.biomedcentral.com/ articles/supplements/volume-15-supplement-1.

Authors' contributions

GK, UC and UR were involved in the preparation of the study materials, data collection and prepared the manuscript. AJ, SB, SR, CK, GM, AK were involved in data collection. RD, SG, SS, MV, AM, MB, DS, BP, LAM were responsible for protocol preparation and overseeing the study. PVD and RQ were the Principal Investigators. All authors read the approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by ethics review committees at the University of British Columbia, Vancouver Canada (H12-00132) and KLE University, Belgaum (Ref No: MDC/IECHSR/2013-14/A-28) India. Furthermore, approval was also obtained from the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, India.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, S Nijalingappa Medical College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India. ²KLE Academy of Higher Education and Research's J N Medical College, Belagavi, Karnataka, India. ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada. ⁴Department of Community Medicine, S Nijalingappa Medical College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India. ⁵Department of Pharmacology, S Nijalingappa Medical College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India. ⁶Department of Anatomy, S Nijalingappa Medical College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India. ⁷School of Life Course Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, UK. ⁸Global Affairs, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, USA. ⁹Division of Women and Child Health, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan. ¹⁰Department of Research, Vancouver Island Health Authority, British Columbia, Canada.

Published: 22 June 2018

References

- Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tuncalp O, Moller A, Daniels J, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2014;2:e323–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(14)70227-X.
- WHO Maternal Mortality Fact sheet Updated November 2016. http://www. who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs348/en/. Accessed 14 Jan 2018.
- Alkema L, Chou D, Hogan D, Zhang S, Moller AB, Gemmill A, et al. Global, regional, and national levels and trends in maternal mortality between 1990 and 2015, with scenario-based projections to 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN maternal mortality estimation inter-agency group. Lancet. 2016;387(10017):462–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(15)003-7. Epub 2015 Nov13.
- Duley L. Magnesium sulphate in eclampsia. Eclampsia trial collaborative group. Lancet. 1998;352(9121):67–8. PMID: 9800779
- Altman D, Carroli G, Duley L, Farrell B, Moodley J, Neilson J, et al. Do women with pre-eclampsia, and their babies, benefit from magnesium sulphate? The magpie trial: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2002;359(9321):1877–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(02)08778-0.
- Magpie Trial Follow-Up Study Collaborative Group. The magpie trial: a randomised trial comparing magnesium sulphate with placebo for pre-eclampsia. Outcome for women at 2 years. BJOG. 2007;114:300–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01166.x.
- Magpie Trial Follow-Up Study Collaborative Group. The magpie trial: a randomised trial comparing magnesium sulphate with placebo for pre-eclampsia. Outcome for children at 18 months. BJOG. 2007;114:289–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0528.2006.01165.x.
- Duley L, Gülmezoglu AM, Henderson-Smart DJ, Chou D. Magnesium sulphate and other anticonvulsants for women with pre-eclampsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;11:CD000025. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 14651858.CD000025.pub2.
- Duley L, Gülmezoglu AM, Chou D. Magnesium sulphate versus lytic cocktail for eclampsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;9:CD002960. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002960.pub2.
- Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Walker GJ, Chou D. Magnesium sulphate versus diazepam for eclampsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;12:CD000127. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000127.pub2.
- 19th WHO Model List of Essential Medicines (April 2015). p.5. http://www. who.int/medicines/publications/essentialmedicines/EML2015_8-May-15.pdf. Accessed 15 Jan 2018.
- National List of Essential Medicines (NLEM) 2015 India. p. 11. cdsco.nic.in/ WriteReadData/NLEM-2015/NLEM,%202015.pdf. Accessed 15 Jan 2018.
- Indian Public health Standards (IPHS) Guidelines for Primary health Centres Revised 2012. Directorate General of Health Services, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. p. 24. https://www.karnataka.gov.in/ hfw/decell/Documents/ IPHS%20Guidelines%20for%20Primay%20Health%20Centres.pdf. Accessed 22 Jan 2018.
- Guidelines for Antenatal Care and Skilled Attendance at Birth by ANMs/ LHVs/SNs. Maternal Health Division, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India April 2010. https://www.nhp.gov.in/sites/default/files/ anm_guidelines.pdf. Accessed 17 Jan 2018.
- Long Q, Oladapo OT, Leathersich S, Vogel JP, Carroli G, Lumbiganon P, et al. Clinical practice patterns on the use of magnesium sulphate for treatment of pre-eclampsia and eclampsia: a multi-country survey. BJOG. 2017;124(12): 1883–90. https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14400.
- Joshi SD, Veerendrakumar CM. 'Single dose MgSo4 regimen' for eclampsia a safe motherhood initiative. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013;7(5):868–72. https://doi. org/10.7860/JCDR/2013/5398.2961.

- Nagaria T, Mitra S, Banjare SP. Single loading low dose MgSo4 regimen: a simple, safe and effective alternative to Pritchard's regimen for Indian women. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11(8):QC08–12. https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/ 2017/26635.10453.
- Saha PK, Kaur J, Goel P, Kataria S, Tandon R, Saha L. Safety and efficacy of low dose intramuscular magnesium sulphate (MgSO4) compared to intravenous regimen for treatment of eclampsia. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2017;43(10):1543–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.13424.
- Abdul MA, Nasir UI, Khan N, Yusuf MD. Low-dose magnesium sulphate in the control of eclamptic fits: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2013;287(1):43–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00404-012-2523-z.
- Siddu VH, Keshava Murthy KD, Revankar R. Status and infrastructure of the health sector in Karnataka. Artha J Soc Sci. 2012;11(3):15–43. https://doi.org/ 10.12724/ajss.22.2.
- Khowaja AR, Qureshi RN, Sawchuck D, Oladapo OT, Adetoro OO, Orenuga EA, et al. The feasibility of community level interventions for pre-eclampsia in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa: a mixed-methods design. Reprod Health. 2016;13(Suppl 1):56. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-016-0133-0.
- 22. Krishnamurthy J, Mony P, Ramesh BM, Thomas A, Gaikwad A, Mohan HL, et al. Assessment of facility readiness and provider preparedness for dealing with postpartum haemorrhage and pre-eclampsia/eclampsia in public and private health facilities of northern Karnataka, India: a cross-sectional study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirt. 2014;14:304. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-304.
- Chaturvedi S, Randive B, Mistry N. Availability of treatment for eclampsia in public health institutions in Maharashtra, India. J Health Popul Nutr. 2013; 31(1):86–95. PMID: 23617209
- Barua A, Mundle S, Bracken H, Easterling T, Winikoff B. Facility and personnel factors influencing magnesium sulfate use for eclampsia and pre-eclampsia in 3 Indian hospitals. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2011;115(3):231–4. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2011.07.016. Epub 2011 Sept 17.
- Ameh C, Msuya S, Hofman J, Raven J, Mathai M, van den Broek N. Status of emergency obstetric care in six developing countries five years before the MDG targets for maternal and newborn health. PLoS One. 2012;7(12): e49938. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049938.
- Gordon R, Magee LA, Payne B, Firoz T, Sawchuck D, Tu D, et al. Magnesium sulphate for the management of preeclampsia and eclampsia in low and middle income countries: a systematic review of tested dosing regimens. J Obstet Gynaecol Can. 2014;36(2):154–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1701-2163(15)30662-9.
- Reducing the risk of medication errors with intravenous magnesium sulfate. Bulletin prepared by Wessex Academic Health Science Network. http:// wessexahsn.org.uk/img/projects/ Magnesium%20Sulfate%20Safety%20Bulletin.pdf. Accessed 1 Feb 2018.
- Lu JF, Nightingale CH. Magnesium sulphate in eclampsia and pre-eclapmsia pharmacokinetic principles. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2000;38:305. https://doi.org/ 10.2165/00003088-200038040-00002.
- Sahu K, Manar M, Singh S. Assessment of health care facilities for maternal and child health care at Bal Mahila chikitsalyas in Lucknow district, India. IJBAR. 2014;5(1):26–8. https://doi.org/10.7439/ijbar.v5i1.615.

Page 76 of 126

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

